

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/649,938	08/27/2003	Daniel John Smith	1171/39464A/99A-	6085	
²⁷⁹ TREXLER. BU	7590 10/01/200 JSHNELL, GIANGIOF		EXAMINER		
BLACKSTON	E & MARR, LTD.	,	PATEL, NIHIR B		
SUITE 3600	AMS STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL	60603		3772		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/649,938 Examiner	SMITH ET AL. Art Unit	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief			
•	Nihir Patel	3772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
REPLY FILED <u>July 24th, 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the for	llowing replies: (1) an amend	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, v	which

THE 1. 🛛 places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires ____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) 🔯 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ____ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) W will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 2,4 and 7-10. Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,5 and 6. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: __ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached sheet... 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: _____.

PATRICIA BIANCO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Part of Paper No. 09282007

There is no amendment of record from August 18th, 2006. The fina Irejection was in response to the amendment filed on March 19th, 2007 (note: after final has date of March 26th, 2007 however, this is a typo and is the date the amendment was forwarded to the examiner). The finality of the office action is proper, since claims were substantailly amended in the March 19th, 2007 amendment to change the scope and therefore warented new consideration and recessitated finality of the action. The applicant also argues that Zwaan does not teach a flexible conduit nor an elongate heating element. The examiner disagrees. The hollow body 1 is made of plastic and palstic is flexible and figure 7 clearly shows an elongate heating element 50.